## **Peer Presentation Evaluation – Viable Design Presentation**

**EDD 104 Section #:** \_\_\_\_\_\_

**Project #:** \_\_\_\_\_\_ **Project Name:**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Reviewer Name:** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Viable Design Name and #:** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Rank each pair/trio member’s presentation performance as: 1 (poor), 2 (satisfactory), 3 (good) or 4 (excellent) in the table below. Also, in the table, provide comments on how the presenter could improve in future presentations.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Pair/Trio Member Name:**  **\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** | **Pair/Trio Member Name:**  **\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** | **Trio Member Name:**  **\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** |
| **Rapport with the audience** |  |  |  |
| **Voice, projection, and audibility** |  |  |  |
| **Clarity of purpose** |  |  |  |
| **Originality of ideas** |  |  |  |
| **Organization** |  |  |  |
| **Persuasiveness of evidence** |  |  |  |
| **Ability to respond to questions** |  |  |  |